For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	Raphael E. CALIX,	No. C-99-20736 JF
9	Plaintiff(s),	AMENDED ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT [Docket No. 163]
10	V.	
11	C.A. TERHUNE, ET AL.,	AGREEMENT [Docket 100, 100]
12	Defendant(s).	
13		
14	On June 22, 2006, Plaintiff Raphael Calix filed a letter that the Court has construed as a	
15	motion for enforcement of the settlement agreement in this action ("the Motion"). Pursuant to the	
16	stipulation of the parties in the settlement agreement, the Motion has been referred to the	
17	undersigned for disposition. The Court sets the following briefing schedule on the Motion:	
18	Defendants shall file an Opposition to the Motion no later than Friday , September 1 , 2006 .	
19	Plaintiff may file a responsive brief no later than Friday, September 22, 2006. If the Court	
20	determines, after the Motion has been fully briefed, that oral argument on the Motion is necessary, a	
21	hearing will be set at that time. ¹	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Dated: July 20, 2006	n/cn
25		JOSEPH C. SPERO
26		United States Magistrate Judge
27		
28	1m: 1 1 1 C 2 1 1 1	2006 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

¹This order amends the Court's July 19, 2006 scheduling order to correct typographical errors.